Formally self-dual linear binary codes from circulant graphs*

Xueliang Li, Yaping Mao, Meiqin Wei
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC
E-mails: lxl@nankai.edu.cn; maoyaping@ymail.com; weimeiqin@mail.nankai.edu.cn
and
Ruihu Li
The air force engineering University
Institute of science, Xi'an 710051, China
E-mail: liruihu@aliyun.com

arXiv:1402.6399v2 [math.CO] 27 Feb 2014

Abstract

In 2002, Tonchev first constructed some linear binary codes defined by the adjacency matrices of undirected graphs. So graph is an important tool for searching optimum code. In this paper, we introduce a new method of searching (proposed) optimum formally self-dual linear binary codes from circulant graphs.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 94B05, 05C50, 05C25.

1 Introduction

A *linear binary code* $\mathcal{C}$ of length n and dimension k (or an $[n,k]$ code), is a k-dimensional linear subspace of the n-dimensional binary vector space F_2^n. The *Hamming distance* between two vectors $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$, $y=(y_1,\cdots,y_n)$ is equal to the number of indices i such that $x_i \neq y_i$. The *Hamming weight* of a vector x, which is denoted by $wt(x)$, is the number of its nonzero coordinates. The *minimum distance* d of a code is defined as the smallest possible distance between pairs of distinct codewords. A generator matrix for an $[n,k]$ code $\mathcal{C}$ is any $k\times n$ matrix G whose rows forms a basis for $\mathcal{C}$. In general, there are many generator matrices for a code.

We say a code is optimum if it meets the lower and upper bounds in the Code Tables, and a proposed optimum code if it only meets the lower bound in the Code Tables. The distribution of a code is the sequence $(A_0,A_1,\cdots,A_n)$, where A_i is the number of codewords of weight i.

*Supported by "973" program No.2013CB834204.

The *weight enumerator* of the code is the polynomial

$$W(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i z^i.$$

Let us now introduce some concepts and notions of Graph Theory. An *undirected graph* $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a set $V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ of vertices together with a collection $E(\Gamma)$ of edges, where each edge is an unordered pair of vertices. The vertices v_i and v_j are *adjacent* if $\{v_i, v_j\}$ is an edge. Then v_j is a *neighbour* of v_i. All the neighbours of vertex v_i in graph Γ form the *neighbourhood* of v_i, and it is denoted by $N_\Gamma(v_i)$. The *degree of a vertex* v is the number of vertices adjacent to v. A graph is *regular* of degree k if all vertices have the same degree k. For a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, suppose that V' is a nonempty subset of V. The subgraph of Γ whose vertex set is V' and whose edge set is the set of those edges of Γ that have both ends in V' is called *the subgraph of* Γ *induced by* V' and is denoted by $\Gamma[V']$, we say that $\Gamma[V']$ is a induced subgraph of Γ. The *adjacency matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ of a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a symmetric $(0, 1)$-matrix defined as follows: $a_{i,j} = 1$ if the i-th and j-th vertices are adjacent, and $a_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

Circulant graphs and their various applications are the objects of intensive study in computer science and discrete mathematics, see [1, 2, 11, 14]. Recently, Monakhova published a survey paper on this subject, see [13]. Let $S = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k\}$ be a set of integers such that $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_k < \frac{n+1}{2}$ and let the vertices of an n-vertex graph be labelled $0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. Then the *ciculant graph* $C(n, S)$ has $i \pm a_1, i \pm a_2, \cdots, i \pm a_k$ $(mod\ n)$ adjacent to each vertex i. A *circulant matrix* is obtained by taking an arbitrary first row, and shifting it cyclically one position to the right in order to obtain successive rows. We say that a circulant matrix is generated by its first row. Formally, if the first row of an n-by-n circualant matrix is $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}$, then the $(i, j)^{th}$ element is a_{j-i}, where subscripts are taken modulo n. The term circulant graph arises from the fact that the adjacency matrix for such a graph is a circulant matrix. For example, Figure 1 shows the circulant graph $C(9, \{1, 2, 3\})$.




Figure 1: The circulant graph $C(9, \{1, 2, 3\})$

In 2002, Tonchev [16] first stand up a relationship between a linear binary code and the adjacency matrix of an undirected graph. Given a graph on n vertices with adjacency matrix A, one can define two linear codes whose generator matrices is as following:

(*a*) $G = (I; A_n)$,

(*b*) $G = A_n$,

where I is the identity matrix of order n.

The code of type (*a*) is of length $2n$, dimension n, and minimum Hamming distance $d_n \leq deg_{min} + 1$, where deg_{min} is the minimum degree among the degrees of the graph vertices. The code of type (*b*) is of length n, dimension equal to the rank of A over the binary field (2-rank of A), and minimum distance $d_n \leq deg_{min}$.


Recently, finding optimum codes from graphs had received a wide attention of many researchers, see [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17]. In [8], Danielsen and Parker showed that two codes are equivalent if and only if the corresponding graphs are equivalent with respect to local complementation and graph isomorphism. They used these facts to classify all codes of length up to 12. In 2012, Danielsen [4] focused his attention on additive codes over $GF(9)$ and transformed the problem of code equivalence into a problem of graph isomorphism. By an extension technique, they classify all optimal codes of length 11 and 12. In fact, a computer search reveals that circulant graph codes usually contain many strong codes, and some of these codes have highly regular graph representations, see [17]. In [4], Danielsen obtained some optimum additive codes from circulant graphs in 2005. Later, Varbanov investigated additive circulant graph codes over $GF(4)$, see [17].

In this paper, we introduce a method and find out some optimum linear codes from circulant graphs. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose a new method to find linear optimum codes from circulant graphs. In [4], Danielsen obtained some optimum additive codes from circulant graphs. We get some optimum linear codes from his result in Section 3.

2 New codes from circulant graphs

We first notice a famous circulant graph, which is called the $(4, 4)$-Ramsey graph. Before introducing this graph, we need some basic concepts and notions on the Ramsey Theory. A *clique* of a simple graph Γ is a subset S of V such that $\Gamma[S]$ is complete. A subset S of V is called *an independent set* of Γ if no two vertices of S are adjacent in Γ. Let $r(k, \ell)$ denote the smallest integer such that every graph on $r(k, \ell)$ vertices contains either a clique of k vertices or an independent set of ℓ vertices. A (k, ℓ)-*Ramsey graph* is a graph with $r(k, \ell) - 1$ vertices that contains neither a clique of k vertices nor an independent set of ℓ vertices. The $(4, 4)$-Ramsey graph Γ (see Figure 2) is just a cirulant graph. Let $V(\Gamma) = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{17}\}$. For the vertex u_1, let $E_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_1u_5, u_1u_9, u_1u_{10}, u_1u_{14}, u_1u_{16}, u_1u_{17}\} \subseteq E(\Gamma)$.

The $(4, 4)$-*Ramsey graph* is obtained by regarding the vertices as elements of the field of integers modulo 17, and joining two vertices if their difference is a quadratic residue of 17 (either 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 13, 15 or 16). For the vertex u_1, we have $E_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_1u_5, u_1u_9, u_1u_{10}, u_1u_{14}, u_1u_{16}, u_1u_{17}\} \subseteq E(\Gamma)$. For the vertex u_2, we just rotate the above vertices and edges, that is, we only



(a) (b)

Figure 2: (a) The $(4,4)$-Ramsey graph Γ; (b) the edge induced graph $\Gamma(E_1)$.

permit the existence of the edge set $E_2 = \{u_2u_3, u_2u_4, u_2u_6, u_2u_{10}, u_2u_{11}, u_2u_{15}, u_2u_{17}, u_2u_{18}\} \subseteq E(\Gamma)$. For each vertex $u_i \in V(\Gamma) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\} = \{u_3, u_4, \cdots, u_{17}\}$, we can also obtain the edge set E_i $(3 \leq i \leq 17)$. Observe that $E(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{17} E_i$. For more details, we refer to [3]. It is clear that the adjacency matrix A_{17} of $(4,4)$-Ramsey graph is

$$A_{17} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that the adjacency matrix A_{17} of $(4,4)$-Ramsey graph can be generated by the following vector

$$\alpha_{17} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1).$$

Observe that this vector just corresponds to the edge set E_1, which is an expression of the adjacent relation about the vertex u_1. We conclude that the $(4,4)$-Ramsey graph can be determined

by the edge set E_1, and the adjacency matrix of this graph is determined by the above vector. From the relation between a linear binary code and the adjacency matrix of an undirected graph introduced by [16], we can get a optimum code from the matrix $(I; A_{17})$.

The above statement suggests the following method.

Step 1. From a circulant graph Γ_n, we write the edge set $E_1 \subseteq E(\Gamma_n)$, whose element is incident to the vertex $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_n)$.

Step 2. By the edge set E_1, write the vector α_n corresponding to E_1.

Step 3. From the vector α_n, we generate a circulant matrix A_n.

Step 4. By computer programming, we obtain the minimum distance of the code $(I; A_n)$ and determine whether $(I; A_n)$ is an optimum code.

But, the above method contributes only a few optimum codes. In this paper, an improved method is introduced by the following statement.

Step 1. From a circulant graph Γ_n, we write the edge set $E_1 \subseteq E(\Gamma_n)$, whose element is incident to the vertex $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_n)$.

Step 2. By the edge set E_1, write the vector

$$\alpha_n = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$$

corresponding to E_1, where $b_1 = 0$.

Step 3. From the vector α_n, we generate a circulant matrix A_n.

Step 4. Let L_n denote the lower bound of the linear code with length $2n$ from Code Tables. By Algorithm 1, we obtain the minimum distance d_n of the code $(I; A_n)$ and determine whether $d_n \geq L_n$.

Below is an algorithm (running in SAGE). For more details, we refer to [15].

Algorithm 1: Minimum distance of a circulant graph code

Input: the value of n, the generator vector α_n of a circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$
Objective: the minimum distance of the circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$
1. input the value of n, the generator vector $\alpha_n = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$;
2. obtain the generator matrix $G = (I; A_n)$ of the circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$;
3. get the minimum distance of the circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$.

Take an example, let $n = 19$ and $\alpha_n = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. The algorithm details is stated as follows:

Program:

$n = 19$;

$a = [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1]$

$m = matrix(GF(2), [[a[(i-k)\%n] \text{ for } i \text{ in } [0..(n-1)]] \text{ for } k \text{ in } [0..n-1]]);$
$f = lambda\ s : sum(map(lambda\ x : m[x], s));$
$s = [];$
for k in [1..8]:
 $t = min([list(i).count(1) \text{ for } i \text{ in Subsets}(range(n), k).map(f)]);$
 $s+ = [t];$
 print $k, t;$

Output:

s_i	s'_i
1	8
2	6
3	4
4	2
5	2
6	4
7	2
8	2

Result: The element of the first column $(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_8)^T$ is the contribution of the matrix I for the weight of a codeword. The element of the second column $(s'_1, s'_2, \cdots, s'_8)^T$ is the contribution of the matrix A_{19} for the weight of a codeword. The value of $\min\{s_i + s'_i \,|\, 1 \le i \le 8\} = 6$ is the minimum weight of the code $\mathcal{C}_{19}$ and then the minimum distance of the code $\mathcal{C}_{19}$ is also 6.

Step 5. If the answer is positive, we are done. If not, i.e., $d_n < L_n$, then we do the following adjustments of the elements of the vector α. We call codeword β a "bad" codeword if $wt(\beta) < L_n$.

Step 5.1. Find "bad" codewords $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_m$ such that their weights are $d_n, d_n+1, \cdots, d_n+(m-1)$ by Algorithm 2, where $m = L_n - d_n$. If there is no codeword with weight $d_n + i$ $(0 \le i \le m-1)$, then β_{i-1} is not under considered.

Step 5.2. For each β_i $(0 \le i \le m-1)$, we can find a combination of β_i by Algorithm 2. Suppose $\beta_i = \alpha_{n,j_1} + \alpha_{n,j_2} + \cdots + \alpha_{n,j_r}$ where $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Note that α_{n,j_k} is the j_k's row of the generator matrix. Suppose

$$\alpha_{n,j_k} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0 \,|\, a_{j_k,1}, a_{j_k,2} \cdots, a_{j_k,n}),$$

where $a_{j_k,i} = 0$ or $a_{j_k,i} = 1$ $(1 \le i \le n)$. One can see that the vector $(a_{j_k,1}, a_{j_k,2}, \cdots, a_{j_k,n}) = (b_{j_k}, b_{j_k+1}, \cdots, b_n, b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{j_k-1})$.

Below is another algorithm (running in SAGE).

Algorithm 2: "bad" codewords and their combinations

Input: the value of n, the generator vector α_n of a circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$
Objective: the minimum distance of the circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$
1. input the value of n, the generator vector $\alpha_n = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$;
2. obtain the generator matrix $G = (I; A_n)$ of the circulant graph code $\mathcal{C}_n$;
3. get "bad" codewords $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_m$ and a combination of each β_i $(1 \le i \le m)$.

Take an example, let $n = 19$ and $\alpha_n = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. The algorithm details is stated as follows:

Program:

$n = 19$;
$a = [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1]$
$m = matrix(GF(2), [[a[(i-k)\%n] \text{ for } i \text{ in } [0..(n-1)]] \text{ for } k \text{ in } [0..n-1]])$;
$f = lambda\ s : sum(map(lambda\ x : m[x], s))$;
$g = lambda\ s : str(sorted(map(lambda\ x : x+1, s))).replace('[','').replace(']','')$;
$s = []$;
for k in [1..8]:
 $t = min([(i, list(f(i)).count(1)) \text{ for } i \text{ in Subsets}(range(n), k)], key = lambda\ x : x[-1])$;
 $s+ = [t]$;
 print $k, t[1], g(t[0])$;

Output:

s_i	s'_i	$\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r\}$ (as defined in Step 5.2)
1	8	{1}
2	6	{1, 9}
3	4	{1, 4, 12}
4	2	{1, 2, 6, 16}
5	2	{1, 2, 8, 11, 14}
6	4	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 13}
7	2	{1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 17}
8	2	{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16}

Step 5.3. Determining whether each element 1 of the generator vertex α_n is a "bad" element in the following way (Since $b_1 = 0$, we begin with element b_2):

If $b_2 = 1$, then $a_{j_1,j_1+1} = a_{j_2,j_2+1} = \cdots = a_{j_r,j_r+1} = b_2 = 1$. We calculate the exact value

$$c_1 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell,j_1+1},\ c_2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell,j_2+1},\ \cdots,\ c_r = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell,j_r+1}.$$

Note that $c_i = 0$ or $c_i = 1$ $(1 \le i \le r)$. Consider the set $C = \{c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_r\}$. If the number of element "0" in C is larger than the number of element "1", then the element b_2 is called *a "bad" element* of the generator vector α_n. If b_2 is a "bad" element, then we instead $b_2 = 1$ by $b'_2 = 0$ and obtain a new vector

$$\alpha'_n = (b_1, b'_2, \cdots, b_n)$$

Then we return to Step 3. If b_2 is not a "bad" element or $b_2 = 0$, then we consider b_3 and continue to determining whether b_3 is a "bad" element. The procedure terminates when b_n is considered.

In order to introduce our method clearly, we take the following example.

Inspired by the above analysis, we hope to consider a circulant graph of order 19 having the similar structure with the $(4, 4)$-Ramsey graph.

Step 1. Among all graphs with 19 vertices, we consider the graph Γ_{19}, which can be generated by the edge set

$$E_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_1u_5, u_1u_{10}, u_1u_{11}, u_1u_{16}, u_1u_{18}, u_1u_{19}\}$$

Note that this graph has similar structure with the $(4, 4)$-Ramsey graph.

Step 2. By the edge set E_1, we write the vector

$$\alpha_{19} = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$$

corresponding to E_1. Obviously, $b_2 = b_3 = b_5 = b_{10} = b_{11} = b_{16} = b_{18} = b_{19} = 1$. As we see, α_{19} and α_{17} has a similar distribution of the elements 0 and 1.

Step 3. A circulant matrix can be generated by α_{19}.

$$A_{19} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Step 4. From the Code Tables, we know that the lower bound of the minimum distance of

linear code $[38, 19]$ over $GF(2)$ is 8, that is, $L_{19} = 8$. By Algorithm 1, we obtain the minimum distance d_{19} of the code $(I; A_{19})$ is just 6, that is, $d_{19} = 6$.

Step 5. Clearly, $6 = d_{19} < L_{19} = 8$ and $m = L_{19} - d_{19} = 2$.

Step 5.1. From Algorithm 2, we find two "bad" codewords

$$\beta_1 = (1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0\,|\,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$$

and

$$\beta_2 = (1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0)$$

such that their weights are 6 and 7, that is, $wt(\beta_1) = 6$ and $wt(\beta_2) = 7$.

Step 5.2. For β_1, we can find a combination of $\beta_1 = \alpha_{19,1}+\alpha_{19,2}+\alpha_{19,6}+\alpha_{19,16}$ by Algorithm 2, where

$$\alpha_{19,1} = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1)$$
$$\alpha_{19,2} = (0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1),$$
$$\alpha_{19,6} = (0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0),$$
$$\alpha_{19,16} = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0\,|\,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0)$$

Note that $r = 4$, $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = 2$, $j_3 = 6$ and $j_4 = 16$.

For β_2, we can find a combination of $\beta_2 = \alpha_{19,1} + \alpha_{19,4} + \alpha_{19,12}$ by Algorithm 2, where

$$\alpha_{19,1} = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1)$$
$$\alpha_{19,4} = (0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1)$$
$$\alpha_{19,12} = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\,|\,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0)$$

Note that $r = 3$, $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = 4$ and $j_3 = 12$.

Step 5.3. Recall that $\alpha_{19} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1)$ and $b_2 = b_3 = b_5 = b_{10} = b_{11} = b_{16} = b_{18} = b_{19} = 1$. Since $b_2 = 1$, we consider whether b_2 is a "bad" element in α_{19}.

For β_1, since $r = 4$, $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = 2$, $j_3 = 6$ and $j_4 = 16$, we have

$$a_{1,2} = a_{2,3} = a_{6,7} = a_{16,17} = b_2 = 1.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_1+1} = a_{1,2} + a_{2,2} + a_{6,2} + a_{16,2} = 0 \\
c_2 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_2+1} = a_{1,3} + a_{2,3} + a_{6,3} + a_{16,3} = 0 \\
c_3 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_3+1} = a_{1,7} + a_{2,7} + a_{6,7} + a_{16,7} = 0 \\
c_4 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_4+1} = a_{1,17} + a_{2,17} + a_{6,17} + a_{16,17} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

For β_2, since $r = 3$, $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = 4$ and $j_3 = 12$, we have

$$a_{1,2} = a_{4,5} = a_{12,13} = b_2 = 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_1+1} = a_{1,2} + a_{4,2} + a_{12,2} = 1 \\ c_2 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_2+1} = a_{1,5} + a_{4,5} + a_{12,5} = 0 \\ c_3 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{j_\ell, j_3+1} = a_{1,13} + a_{4,13} + a_{12,13} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the number of element "0" in C is larger than the number of element "1", then the element b_2 is called *a "bad" element* of the generator vector α_n. We instead $b_2 = 1$ by $b_2' = 0$ and obtain a new vector

$$\alpha_{19}' = (0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1)$$

Then we return to Step 3. The circulant matrix A_{19}' generated by α_{19}' is

$$A_{19}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1 \\ 1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0 \\ 0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1 \\ 1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us now investigate the linear code $\mathcal{C}_{19}'$ with generator matrix $G = (I; A_{19}')$. By Algorithm 1, we get that the minimum distance d_{19}' of linear code $\mathcal{C}_{19}'$ is 8. Thus, the graph code $\mathcal{C}_{19}'$ attains

the lower bound 8, and hence the code $\mathcal{C}'_{19}$ is a proposed optimum code over $GF(2)$. The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}'_{19}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}'_{19}}(z) &= 1 + 133z^8 + 2052z^{10} + 10108z^{12} + 36575z^{14} + 85595z^{16} \\ &\quad +127680z^{18} + 127680z^{20} + 85595z^{22} + 36575z^{24} + 10108z^{26} \\ &\quad +2052z^{28} + 133z^{30} + z^{38}. \end{aligned}$$

With the above approach and algorothms, we can also find three other proposed optimum linear codes by the generator matrices $G = (I; A''_{19})$, $G = (I; A'''_{19})$ and $G = (I; A''''_{19})$. The circulant matrices A''_{19}, A'''_{19} and A''''_{19} are separately generated by

$$\alpha''_{19} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1),$$

$$\alpha'''_{19} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1),$$

$$\alpha''''_{19} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0).$$

The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}''_{19}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}''_{19}}(z) &= 1 + 190z^8 + 1767z^{10} + 10507z^{12} + 36860z^{14} + 84341z^{16} \\ &\quad +128478z^{18} + 128478z^{20} + 84341z^{22} + 36860z^{24} + 10507z^{26} \\ &\quad +1767z^{28} + 190z^{30} + z^{38} \end{aligned}$$

One can also check that the weight enumerators of the codes $\mathcal{C}'''_{19}$ and $\mathcal{C}''''_{19}$ are equal to the ones of $\mathcal{C}''_{19}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{19}$, respectively.

In addition, we consider graphs with large number of vertices with similar approach. From the Code Tables, we know that the lower and upper bounds of the minimum distance of binary linear code $[50, 25]$ over $GF(2)$ are 10 and 12. Let Γ^1_{25} and Γ^2_{25} be two circulant graphs obtained from the edge sets

$$E^1_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_1u_5, u_1u_7, u_1u_{12}, u_1u_{13}, u_1u_{18}, u_1u_{20}, u_1u_{22}, u_1u_{24}, u_1u_{25}\} \subseteq E(\Gamma^1_{25})$$

and

$$E^2_1 = \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, u_1v_5, v_1v_7, v_1v_9, v_1v_{14}, v_1v_{15}, v_1v_{20}, v_1v_{22}, v_1v_{24}, v_1v_{25}, \} \subseteq E(\Gamma^2_{25}),$$

respectively, in which $u_1 \in V(\Gamma^1_{25})$ and $v_1 \in V(\Gamma^2_{25})$. By the edge sets E^1_1 and E^2_1, we write the generator vectors

$$\alpha^1_{25} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1)$$

and

$$\alpha^2_{25} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1).$$

Then by Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2, we find the linear codes $\mathcal{C}'_{25}$ and $\mathcal{C}''_{25}$ with generator matrices $G' = (I; A'_{25})$ and $G'' = (I; A''_{25})$, where the circulant matrices A'_{25} and A''_{25} are generated by

$$\alpha'_{25} = (0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1)$$

$$\alpha''_{25} = (0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1)$$

The minimum distance of codes $\mathcal{C}'_{25}$ and $\mathcal{C}''_{25}$ are 10. So the graph codes $\mathcal{C}'_{25}$ and $\mathcal{C}''_{25}$ attain the lower bound 10 and hence the codes $\mathcal{C}'_{25}$ and $\mathcal{C}''_{25}$ are proposed optimum codes over $GF(2)$. The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}'_{25}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}'_{25}}(z) &= 1 + 225z^{10} + 1250z^{11} + 3825z^{12} + 11525z^{13} + 28050z^{14} + 64005z^{15} + 147075z^{16} \\ &\quad +294975z^{17} + 535075z^{18} + 9111100z^{19} + 1409205z^{20} + 1999925z^{21} + 2642200z^{22} \\ &\quad +3219675z^{23} + 3623325z^{24} + 377243z^{25} + 3621975z^{26} + 3216050z^{27} + 2643475z^{28} \\ &\quad +2009175z^{29} + 1408010z^{30} + 904475z^{31} + 535400z^{32} + 292725z^{33} + 147525z^{34} \\ &\quad +68880z^{35} + 27975z^{36} + 9775z^{37} + 3500z^{38} + 1125z^{39} + 375z^{40} + 125z^{41} \end{aligned}$$

The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}''_{25}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}''_{25}}(z) &= 1 + 225z^{10} + 1250z^{11} + 3825z^{12} + 11525z^{13} + 28050z^{14} + 64005z^{15} + 147075z^{16} \\ &\quad +294975z^{17} + 535075z^{18} + 9111100z^{19} + 1409205z^{20} + 1999925z^{21} + 2642200z^{22} \\ &\quad +3219675z^{23} + 3623325z^{24} + 377243z^{25} + 3621975z^{26} + 3216050z^{27} + 2643475z^{28} \\ &\quad +2009175z^{29} + 1408010z^{30} + 904475z^{31} + 535400z^{32} + 292725z^{33} + 147525z^{34} \\ &\quad +68880z^{35} + 27975z^{36} + 9775z^{37} + 3500z^{38} + 1125z^{39} + 375z^{40} + 125z^{41} \end{aligned}$$

3 New codes from additive codes obtained by Danielsen

In [4], Danielsen got some optimum additive codes. One of them is the optimum additive code $(30, 2^{30}, 12)$ obtained from the vector

$$\beta_{30} = (\omega,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0),$$

which corresponds to a circulant graph of order 30. Change the "ω" to "0", we obtain the following vector.

$$\alpha_{30} = (0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0).$$

Denote by A_{30} the circulant matrix generated by α_{30}. We now consider the binary linear codes $\mathcal{C}_{30}$ with generator matrix $G = (I; A_{30})$. By Algorithm 1, the minimum distance d_{30} of linear code $\mathcal{C}_{30}$ is 12. So $\mathcal{C}_{30}$ is a proposed optimum linear code. The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}_{30}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}_{30}}(z) &= 1 + 4060z^{12} + 24360z^{14} + 294930z^{16} + 1728400z^{18} + 7758400z^{20} \\ &\quad +26336640z^{22} + 67403540z^{24} + 129936240z^{26} + 192974265z^{28} + 220819632z^{30} \\ &\quad +192974265z^{32} + 129936240z^{34} + 67403540z^{36} + 26336640z^{38} + 7758660z^{40} \\ &\quad +1728400z^{42} + 294930z^{44} + 24360z^{46} + 4060z^{48} + z^{60} \end{aligned}$$

The above success about obtaining an optimum linear code from additive codes obtained by Danielsen [4] give us more inspirations since he got more additive codes in [4]. In his paper, the code $(15, 2^{15})$ from the vector

$$(\omega, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0),$$

which corresponds to a circulant graph of order 15 is a proposed optimum additive code. Change the "ω" to "0", we obtain the following vector.

$$\alpha_{15} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0).$$

The adjacent matrix generated by α_{15} is

$$A_{15} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the binary linear code $\mathcal{C}_{15}$ with generator matrix $G = (I; A_{15})$. Unfortunately, $\mathcal{C}_{15}$ is not a (proposed) optimum code. So some adjustments are needed for the elements of α_{15}. Applying Algorithm 2, we obtain a new vector

$$\alpha'_{15} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0).$$

The circulant matrix A'_{15} is generated by α'_{15} is

$$A'_{15} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, we consider the binary linear code $\mathcal{C}'_{15}$ with generator matrix $G = (I; A'_{15})$.

By Algorithm 1, the minimum distance d'_{15} of linear code $\mathcal{C}'_{15}$ is 8. Again from the Code Tables, we know that the minimum distance of binary linear code $[30, 15]$ over $GF(2)$ is 8. So $\mathcal{C}'_{15}$ is a optimum binary linear code. The weight enumerator of the code $\mathcal{C}'_{15}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}'_{15}}(z) &= 1 + 450z^8 + 1848z^{10} + 5040z^{12} + 9045z^{14} + 9045z^{16} \\ &\quad +5040z^{18} + 1848z^{20} + 450z^{22} + z^{30}. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] J. C. Bermond, F. Comellas, D. F. Hsu, *Distributed loop computer networks: A survey*, J. Parallel Distributed Comput. 24 (1995), 2-10.

[2] F. T. Boesch, J. F. Wang, *Reliable circulant networks with minimum transmission delay*, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 32 (1985), 1286-1291.

[3] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.

[4] L. E. Danielsen, *On Self-Dual Quantum Codes*, Graphs and Boolean Functions, 2005.

[5] L. E. Danielsen, *Graph-based classification of self-dual additive codes over finite field*, Adv. Math. Commun. 3(4) (2009), 329-348.

[6] L. E. Danielsen, *On the Classification of Hermitian Self-Dual Additive Codes over GF(9)*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 58(8) (2012), 5500-5511.

[7] L. E. Danielsen, M. G. Parker, *Directed Graph Representation of Half-Rate Additive Codes over GF(4)*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 59 (2011), 119-130.

[8] L. E. Danielsen, M. G. Parker, *On the classification of all self-dual additive codes over $GF(4)$ of length up to* 12, J. Combin. Theory, Series A, **113** (2006), 1351-1367.

[9] D. G. Glynn, T. A. Gulliver, J. G. Marks, M. K. Gupta, *The Geometry of Additive Quantum Codes*, Preface, Springer, 2006.

[10] W. C. Huffman, Vera Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University, 2003.

[11] B. Mans, F. Pappalardi, I. Shparlinski, *On the spectral Adam property for circulant graphs*, Discrete Math. 254(1-3) (2002), 309-329.

[12] P. T. Meijer, *Connectivities and Diameters of Circulant Graps*, B. Sc. (Honors), Simon Fraser University, 1987.

[13] E. A. Monakhova, *A survey on undirected circulant graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 4 (1) (2012), DOI: 10.1142/S1793830912500024.

[14] M. E. Muzychuk, G. Tinhofer, *Recognizing circulant graphs of prime order in polynomial time*, Electron. J. Combin. 5(1) (1998), 501-528.

[15] W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.1.1)*, The Sage Development Team, 2014, http://www.sagemath.org.

[16] V. Tonchev, *Error-correcting codes from graphs*, Disrete Math., **257** (2002), 549-557.

[17] Z. Varbanov, *Additive circulent graph codes over GF(4)*, Math. Maced. 6 (2008), 73-79.